H-3408.1			
11 2400 T			

HOUSE BILL 2556

State of Washington 63rd Legislature 2014 Regular Session

By Representatives Freeman, Rodne, Kagi, and Pollet

Read first time 01/21/14. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

AN ACT Relating to authorizing, funding, and encouraging the establishment of therapeutic courts; amending RCW 82.14.460; adding a new chapter to Title 2 RCW; creating a new section; and repealing RCW 2.28.170, 2.28.175, 2.28.180, 2.28.190, 13.40.700, 13.40.710, 26.12.250, 2.28.165, and 2.28.166.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

1718

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds that judges in the trial courts throughout the state effectively utilize what are known as therapeutic courts to remove a defendant's or respondent's case, with the consent of the defendant or respondent and the consent of a government authority, from the criminal and civil court traditional trial track and allow those defendants or respondents the opportunity to obtain treatment services to address particular issues that may have contributed to the conduct that led to their arrest or other issues before the court. Trial courts have proved adept at creative approaches in fashioning a wide variety of therapeutic courts addressing the spectrum of social issues that can contribute to criminal activity and engagement with the child welfare system.

p. 1 HB 2556

- 1 (2) The legislature further finds that focusing on the specific 2 individual's needs, providing treatment for the issues presented, and 3 ensuring rapid and appropriate accountability for program violations, 4 therapeutic courts may decrease recidivism, improve the safety of the 5 community, and improve the life of the program participant and the 6 participant's family by decreasing the severity and frequency of the 7 specific behavior addressed by the therapeutic court.
 - (3) The legislature recognizes the (a) inherent authority of the judiciary under Article IV, section 1 of the state Constitution to establish therapeutic courts, and (b) outstanding contribution to the state and a local community made by the establishment of therapeutic courts, and desires to provide a general provision in statute acknowledging and encouraging the judiciary to provide for therapeutic court programs to address the particular needs within a given judicial jurisdiction.
- 16 (4) Such therapeutic court programs may include, but are not 17 limited to:
- 18 (a) Adult drug court;
- 19 (b) Juvenile drug court;
- 20 (c) Family dependency treatment court or family drug court;
- 21 (d) Mental health court, which may include participants with 22 developmental disabilities;
- 23 (e) DUI court;

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

- 24 (f) Veterans treatment court;
- 25 (g) Truancy court;
- 26 (h) Domestic violence court;
- 27 (i) Gambling court;
- 28 (j) Community court;
- 29 (k) Homeless court;
- 30 (1) Treatment, responsibility, and accountability on campus (Back 31 on TRAC) court.
- 32 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise:
- 34 (1) "Emerging best practice" or "promising practice" means a 35 program or practice that, based on statistical analyses or a well-36 established theory of change, shows potential for meeting the evidence-

based or research-based criteria, which may include the use of a program that is evidence-based for outcomes other than those listed in this section.

- (2) "Evidence-based" means a program or practice that has been tested in heterogeneous or intended populations with multiple randomized, or statistically controlled evaluations, or both; or one large multiple site randomized, or statistically controlled evaluation, or both, where the weight of the evidence from a systemic review demonstrates sustained improvements in at least one outcome. "Evidence-based" also means a program or practice that can be implemented with a set of procedures to allow successful replication in Washington and, when possible, is determined to be cost-beneficial.
- (3) "Government authority" means prosecutor or other representative initiating action leading to a proceeding in therapeutic court.
- (4) "Participant" means an accused person, offender, or respondent in the judicial proceeding.
- (5) "Research-based" means a program or practice that has been tested with a single randomized, or statistically controlled evaluation, or both, demonstrating sustained desirable outcomes; or where the weight of the evidence from a systemic review supports sustained outcomes as described in this subsection but does not meet the full criteria for evidence-based.
- (6) "Specialty court" and "therapeutic court" both mean a court utilizing a program or programs structured to achieve both a reduction in recidivism, increase the likelihood of rehabilitation, or reduce child abuse and neglect, out-of-home placements of children, termination of parental rights, and substance abuse and mental health symptoms among parents or guardians and their children through continuous and intense judicially supervised treatment and the appropriate use of services, sanctions, and incentives.
- (7) "Trial court" means a superior court authorized under Title 2 RCW, and/or a district or municipal court authorized under Title 3 or 35 RCW.
- (8) "Therapeutic court personnel" means the staff of a therapeutic court including, but not limited to: Court and clerk personnel with therapeutic court duties, prosecuting attorneys, the attorney general or his or her representatives, defense counsel, monitoring personnel, and others acting within the scope of therapeutic court duties.

p. 3 HB 2556

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. (1) Every trial and juvenile court in the state of Washington is authorized and encouraged to establish and operate therapeutic courts. Therapeutic courts, in conjunction with the government authority and subject matter experts specific to the focus of the therapeutic court, develop and process cases in ways which depart from traditional judicial processes. Defendants or respondents may apply to participate only with their consent and, in criminal cases, the consent of the prosecutor, to allow those defendants or respondents the opportunity to obtain treatment services to address particular issues that may have contributed to the conduct that led to their arrest or involvement in the child welfare system in exchange for resolution of the case or charges.

- (2) While a therapeutic court judge retains the discretion to decline to accept a case into the therapeutic court, and while a therapeutic court retains discretion to establish processes and determine eligibility for admission to the therapeutic court process unique to their community and jurisdiction, the effectiveness and credibility of any therapeutic court will be enhanced when the court implements evidence-based practices, research-based practices, emerging best practices, or promising practices which have been identified and accepted at the state and national levels. Promising practices, emerging best practices, and/or research-based programs are authorized where determined by the court to be appropriate. As practices evolve, the trial court shall regularly assess the effectiveness of its program and the methods by which it implements and adopts new best practices.
- (3) Except under special findings by the court, the following individuals are not allowed into therapeutic courts:
- (a) Individuals who are currently charged or who have been previously convicted of a serious violent offense or sex offense as defined in RCW 9.94A.030;
- (b) Individuals who are currently charged with an offense alleging intentional discharge, threat to discharge, or attempt to discharge a firearm in furtherance of the offense;
- (c) Individuals charged with or previously convicted of vehicular homicide or an equivalent out-of-state offense; or
- 36 (d) Individuals who are currently charged with or previously 37 convicted of: An offense alleging substantial bodily harm or great 38 bodily harm as defined in RCW 9.94A.030, or death of another person.

- (4) Any jurisdiction establishing a therapeutic court shall endeavor to incorporate the therapeutic court principles of best practices as recognized by state and national therapeutic court organizations in structuring a particular program, which may include:
 - (a) Determining the population;
 - (b) Performing a clinical assessment;
 - (c) Developing the treatment plan;
- 8 (d) Monitoring the participant, including any appropriate testing;
 - (e) Forging agency, organization, and community partnerships;
 - (f) Taking a judicial leadership role;
 - (g) Developing case management strategies;
- 12 (h) Addressing transportation, housing, and subsistence issues;
- (i) Evaluating the program;

1

2

3

5

7

9

10 11

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

32

3334

35

36

37

- (j) Ensuring a sustainable program.
- 15 (5) Upon a showing of indigence under RCW 10.101.010, fees may be reduced or waived.
 - (6) The department of social and health services shall furnish services to therapeutic courts addressing dependency matters where substance abuse or mental health are an issue unless the court contracts with providers outside of the department.
 - (7) Any jurisdiction that has established more than one therapeutic court under this chapter may combine the functions of these courts into a single therapeutic court.
 - (8) Nothing in this section prohibits a district or municipal court from ordering treatment or other conditions of sentence or probation following a conviction, without the consent of either the prosecutor or defendant.
 - NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. Jurisdictions seeking state funding for therapeutic courts must exhaust all federal funding available to support the operation of its therapeutic court and associated services and match, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, state moneys allocated for therapeutic courts with local cash or in-kind resources. Moneys allocated by the state may be used to supplement, not supplant other federal, state, and local funds for therapeutic courts. However, until June 30, 2015, no match is required for state moneys expended for the administrative and overhead costs associated with the operation of a therapeutic court authorized under this chapter.

p. 5 HB 2556

Sec. 5. RCW 82.14.460 and 2012 c 180 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

- (1)(a) A county legislative authority may authorize, fix, and impose a sales and use tax in accordance with the terms of this chapter.
- (b) If a county with a population over eight hundred thousand has not imposed the tax authorized under this subsection by January 1, 2011, any city with a population over thirty thousand located in that county may authorize, fix, and impose the sales and use tax in accordance with the terms of this chapter. The county must provide a credit against its tax for the full amount of tax imposed under this subsection (1)(b) by any city located in that county if the county imposes the tax after January 1, 2011.
- (2) The tax authorized in this section is in addition to any other taxes authorized by law and must be collected from those persons who are taxable by the state under chapters 82.08 and 82.12 RCW upon the occurrence of any taxable event within the county for a county's tax and within a city for a city's tax. The rate of tax equals one-tenth of one percent of the selling price in the case of a sales tax, or value of the article used, in the case of a use tax.
- (3) Moneys collected under this section must be used solely for the purpose of providing for the operation or delivery of chemical dependency or mental health treatment programs and services and for the operation or delivery of therapeutic court programs and services. For the purposes of this section, "programs and services" includes, but is not limited to, treatment services, case management, transportation, and housing that are a component of a coordinated chemical dependency or mental health treatment program or service. Every jurisdiction that authorizes the tax provided in this section shall, and every other jurisdiction may, establish and operate a therapeutic court component for dependency proceedings designed to be effective for the court's size, location, and resources.
- (4) All moneys collected under this section must be used solely for the purpose of providing new or expanded programs and services as provided in this section, except as follows:
- (a) For a county with a population larger than twenty-five thousand or a city with a population over thirty thousand, which initially imposed the tax authorized under this section prior to January 1, 2012,

a portion of moneys collected under this section may be used to supplant existing funding for these purposes as follows: Up to fifty percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar years 2011-2012; up to forty percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar year 2013; up to thirty percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar year 2014; up to twenty percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar year 2015; and up to ten percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar year 2016;

- (b) For a county with a population larger than twenty-five thousand or a city with a population over thirty thousand, which initially imposes the tax authorized under this section after December 31, 2011, a portion of moneys collected under this section may be used to supplant existing funding for these purposes as follows: Up to fifty percent may be used to supplant existing funding for up to the first three calendar years following adoption; and up to twenty-five percent may be used to supplant existing funding for the fourth and fifth years after adoption;
- (c) For a county with a population of less than twenty-five thousand, a portion of moneys collected under this section may be used to supplant existing funding for these purposes as follows: Up to eighty percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar years 2011-2012; up to sixty percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar year 2013; up to forty percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar year 2014; up to twenty percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar year 2015; and up to ten percent may be used to supplant existing funding in calendar year 2016; and
- (d) Notwithstanding (a) through (c) of this subsection, moneys collected under this section may be used to support the cost of the judicial officer and support staff of a therapeutic court.
- (5) Nothing in this section may be interpreted to prohibit the use of moneys collected under this section for the replacement of lapsed federal funding previously provided for the operation or delivery of services and programs as provided in this section.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. Individual trial courts are authorized and encouraged to establish multijurisdictional partnerships and/or interlocal agreements under RCW 39.34.180 to enhance and expand the

p. 7 HB 2556

- 1 coverage area of the therapeutic court. Specifically, district and
- 2 municipal courts may work cooperatively with each other and with the
- 3 superior courts to identify and implement nontraditional case
- 4 processing methods which can eliminate traditional barriers that
- 5 decrease judicial efficiency.
- 6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 7.** Any therapeutic court meeting the definition
- 7 of therapeutic court in section 2 of this act and existing on the
- 8 effective date of this section continues to be authorized.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 8.** The following acts or parts of acts are each 10 repealed:
- 11 (1) RCW 2.28.170 (Drug courts) and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 4 s 952, 2013
- 12 2nd sp.s. c 4 s 951, 2013 c 257 s 5, 2009 c 445 s 2, 2006 c 339 s 106,
- 13 2005 c 504 s 504, 2002 c 290 s 13, & 1999 c 197 s 9;
- 14 (2) RCW 2.28.175 (DUI courts) and 2013 2nd sp.s. c 35 s 2, 2013 c
- 15 257 s 6, 2012 c 183 s 1, & 2011 c 293 s 10;
- 16 (3) RCW 2.28.180 (Mental health courts) and 2013 c 257 s 7, 2011 c
- 17 236 s 1, & 2005 c 504 s 501;
- 18 (4) RCW 2.28.190 (DUI court, drug court, and mental health court
- 19 may be combined) and 2013 c 257 s 8, 2011 c 293 s 11, & 2005 c 504 s
- 20 502;
- 21 (5) RCW 13.40.700 (Juvenile gang courts--Minimum requirements--
- 22 Admission--Individualized plan--Completion) and 2012 c 146 s 2;
- 23 (6) RCW 13.40.710 (Juvenile gang courts--Data--Reports) and 2012 c
- 24 146 s 3;
- 25 (7) RCW 26.12.250 (Therapeutic courts) and 2005 c 504 s 503;
- 26 (8) RCW 2.28.165 (Specialty and therapeutic courts--Establishment--
- 27 Principles of best practices--Limitations) and 2013 c 257 s 2; and
- 28 (9) RCW 2.28.166 (Definition of "specialty court" and "therapeutic
- 29 court") and 2013 c 257 s 4.
- 30 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 9.** Sections 1 through 4, 6, and 7 of this act
- 31 constitute a new chapter in Title 2 RCW.
- 32 NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. If any provision of this act or its
- 33 application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the

remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. If any part of this act is found to be in conflict with federal requirements that are a prescribed condition to the allocation of federal funds to the state, the conflicting part of this act is inoperative solely to the extent of the conflict and with respect to the agencies directly affected, and this finding does not affect the operation of the remainder of this act in its application to the agencies concerned. Rules adopted under this act must meet federal requirements that are a necessary condition to the receipt of federal funds by the state.

--- END ---

p. 9 HB 2556