Senator Stephen H. Urquhart proposes the following substitute bill:

1	EARLY READING AMENDMENTS
2	2015 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Stephen H. Urquhart
5	House Sponsor: Bradley G. Last
6 7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill amends provisions related to early reading assessments and interventions in
10	public schools.
11	Highlighted Provisions:
12	This bill:
13	 amends provisions related to a diagnostic assessment system for early reading;
14	 requires the State Board of Education to distribute licenses for early reading
15	software to a school district or charter school by a certain date;
16	► requires a \hat{S} → [school district or charter] public ← \hat{S} school that receives a license for
16a	early reading
17	software to comply with certain standards;
18	 directs the State Board of Education to establish certain standards;
19	 provides for evaluation of the use of early reading software; and
20	 makes technical and conforming changes.
21	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
22	None
23	Other Special Clauses:
24	None
25	Utah Code Sections Affected:

1st Sub. (Green) S.B. 263

03-06-15 2:21 PM

ŀ	AMENDS:
	53A-1-606.7, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2011, Chapter 372
	53A-17a-167, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 466
Ŀ	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
	Section 1. Section 53A-1-606.7 is amended to read:
	53A-1-606.7. State Board of Education required to contract for a diagnostic
a	assessment system for reading.
	(1) The State Board of Education shall contract with [an] one or more educational
t	echnology [provider] providers, selected through a request for proposals process, for a
Ċ	liagnostic assessment system for reading for students in kindergarten through grade three that
n	neets the requirements of this section.
	(2) [The] Subject to legislative appropriations, a diagnostic assessment system for
r	reading shall be made available to school districts and charter schools that apply to use [the] \underline{a}
Ċ	liagnostic assessment for reading beginning in the 2011-12 school year.
	(3) [The] \underline{A} diagnostic assessment system for reading for students in kindergarten
t	hrough grade three shall:
	(a) be in a digital format;
	[(a)] (b) include benchmark assessments of reading proficiency to be administered at
t	he beginning, in the middle, and at the end of kindergarten, grade one, grade two, and grade
t	hree;
	[(b)] (c) include formative assessments to be administered every two to four weeks for
S	students who are at high risk of not attaining proficiency in reading;
	[(c)] (d) align with the language arts core curriculum adopted by the State Board of
E	Education; and
	[(d)] (e) include a data analysis component hosted by the [contractor] provider that:
	(i) has the capacity to generate electronic information immediately and produce
i	ndividualized student progress reports, class summaries, and class groupings for instruction;
	(ii) [has] may have the capability of identifying lesson plans that may be used to
Ċ	levelop reading skills;
	(iii) enables teachers, administrators, and designated supervisors to access reports

03-06-15 2:21 PM

57	through a secured password system;
58	(iv) produces electronic printable reports for parents and administrators; and
59	(v) has the capability for principals to monitor usage by teachers.
60	[(4) (a) The benchmark and formative assessments specified in Subsections (3)(a) and
61	(b) shall be available to be downloaded to a portable technology device so that a teacher may
62	be able to sit beside a student as the student is being assessed at any location in the classroom
63	or throughout the school.]
64	[(b) After an assessment is downloaded to a portable technology device, the device
65	shall have the capability to operate in stand-alone mode if the Internet connection is lost.]
66	[(c) After an assessment is completed and uploaded to the data analysis component, the
67	data analysis component shall be capable of allowing data and reports to be viewed and printed
68	immediately.]
69	[(5) The State Board of Education shall:]
70	[(a) evaluate the effects of the diagnostic assessment system for reading by comparing
71	the learning gains of students in school districts and charter schools that use the diagnostic
72	assessment system for reading with the learning gains of students in school districts and charter
73	schools that do not use the diagnostic assessment system for reading; and]
74	[(b) submit a report on the evaluation to the Public Education Appropriations
75	Subcommittee by November 2013.]
76	Section 2. Section 53A-17a-167 is amended to read:
77	53A-17a-167. Early intervention program Enhanced kindergarten program
78	Educational technology.
79	(1) The State Board of Education shall, as described in Subsection (4), distribute funds
80	appropriated under this section for an enhanced kindergarten program described in Subsection
81	(2), to school districts and charter schools that apply for the funds.
82	(2) A school district or charter school shall use funds appropriated in this section to
83	offer an early intervention program, delivered through an enhanced kindergarten program that:
84	(a) is an academic program focused on building age-appropriate literacy and numeracy
85	skills;
86	(b) uses an evidence-based early intervention model;
87	(c) is targeted to at-risk students; and

1st Sub. (Green) S.B. 263

03-06-15 2:21 PM

88	(d) is delivered through additional hours or other means.
89	(3) A school district or charter school may not require a student to participate in an
90	enhanced kindergarten program described in Subsection (2).
91	(4) The State Board of Education shall distribute funds appropriated under this section
92	for an enhanced kindergarten program described in Subsection (2) as follows:
93	(a) (i) the total allocation for charter schools shall be calculated by:
94	(A) dividing the number of charter school students by the total number of students in
95	the public education system in the prior school year; and
96	(B) multiplying the resulting percentage by the total amount of available funds; and
97	(ii) the amount calculated under Subsection (4)(a) shall be distributed to charter
98	schools with the greatest need for an enhanced kindergarten program, as determined by the
99	State Board of Education in consultation with the State Charter School Board;
100	(b) each school district shall receive the amount calculated by:
101	(i) multiplying the value of the weighted pupil unit by 0.45; and
102	(ii) multiplying the result by 20; and
103	(c) the remaining funds, after the allocations described in Subsections (4)(a) and (4)(b)
104	are made, shall be distributed to applicant school districts by:
105	(i) determining the number of students eligible to receive free lunch in the prior school
106	year for each school district; and
107	(ii) prorating the remaining funds based on the number of students eligible to receive
108	free lunch in each district.
109	(5) In addition to an enhanced kindergarten program described in Subsection (2), the
110	early intervention program includes a component to address early [intervention] reading
111	through the use of [an interactive computer software program] early interactive reading
112	software.
113	(6) (a) Subject to legislative appropriations, [by September 1 of each year,] the State
114	Board of Education shall select and contract with one or more technology providers, through a
115	request for proposals process, to provide [an interactive computer software program] early
116	interactive reading software for literacy instruction and assessments for students in
117	kindergarten through grade 3.
118	(b) [The] By August 1 of each year, the State Board of Education shall distribute

03-06-15 2:21 PM

1st Sub. (Green) S.B. 263

119	licenses for [an interactive computer software program] early interactive reading software
120	described in Subsection (6)(a) to school districts and charter schools that apply for the licenses.
121	(c) $[A]$ Except as provided in Subsection (7)(c), a school district or charter school that
122	received a license described in Subsection (6)(b) during the prior year shall be given first
123	priority to receive an equivalent license during the current year.
124	(d) Licenses distributed to school districts and charter schools in addition to the
125	licenses described in Subsection (6)(c) shall be distributed through a competitive process.
126	[(7) On or before November 1, 2013, and every year thereafter, the State Board of
127	Education shall report final testing data regarding an interactive computer software program
128	described in Subsection (6), including student learning gains as a result of the interactive
129	computer software program, to:]
130	[(a) the Education Interim Committee; and]
131	[(b) the governor.]
132	(7) (a) As used in this Subsection (7), "dosage" means amount of instructional time.
133	(b) A $\hat{S} \rightarrow [sense described in for the charter] public \leftarrow \hat{S} school that receives a license described in$
133a	Subsection
134	(6)(b) shall use the license:
135	(i) for a student in kindergarten or grade 1:
136	(A) for intervention for the student if the student is reading below grade level; or
137	(B) for advancement beyond grade level for the student if the student is reading at or
138	above grade level;
139	(ii) for a student in grade 2 or 3, for intervention for the student if the student is reading
140	below grade level; and
141	(iii) in accordance with the technology provider's dosage recommendations.
142	(c) A $\hat{S} \rightarrow [$ <u>sehool district or charter</u>] public $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ school that does not use the early
142a	interactive reading
143	software in accordance with the technology provider's dosage recommendations for two
144	consecutive years may not continue to receive a license.
145	(8) (a) On or before August 1 of each year, the State Board of Education shall select
146	and contract with an independent evaluator, through a request for proposals process, to act as
147	an independent contractor to evaluate early interactive reading software provided under this
148	section.
149	(b) The State Board of Education shall ensure that a contract with an independent

1st Sub. (Green) S.B. 263

150	evaluator requires the independent evaluator to:
151	(i) $\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{compare}] evaluate \leftarrow \hat{S}$ a student's learning gains as a result of using early
151a	interactive reading
152	software provided under $\hat{S} \rightarrow [$ this section to a control group $]$ Subsection (6) $\leftarrow \hat{S}$
153	(ii) for the $\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{comparison}] \underline{evaluation} \leftarrow \hat{S} \underline{under Subsection (8)(b)(i)}$, use an assessment
153a	that is not
154	developed by a provider of early interactive reading software; $\hat{S} \rightarrow and \leftarrow \hat{S}$
155	(iii) determine the extent to which a $\hat{S} \rightarrow [sehool district or charter] public \leftarrow \hat{S}$ school uses
155a	the early
156	interactive reading software in accordance with a technology provider's dosage
157	recommendations under Subsection (7) $\hat{S} \rightarrow [; and]$
158	(iv) calculate the per-student cost of early interactive reading software provided by a
159	<u>provider</u>] ←Ŝ _
160	(c) The State Board of Education and the independent evaluator selected under
161	Subsection (8)(a) shall report annually on the results of the evaluation to the Education Interim
162	Committee and the governor.
163	(d) The State Board of Education may use up to $\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{5\%}] \underline{4\%} \leftarrow \hat{S}$ of the appropriation
163a	provided
164	under Subsection (6)(a) to contract with an independent evaluator selected under Subsection
165	(8)(a).